THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART

2

1

3

4

56

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

2324

25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MOTOROLA, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Case No. C10-1823-JLR

MICROSOFT'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY REGARDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Microsoft respectfully submits as supplemental authority in support of its Opposition (Dkt. No. 740) to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 733) the Federal Trade Commission's ("FTC") July 23, 2013 Decision and Order in *In the Matter of Motorola Mobility, LLC, and Google, Inc.*; and the FTC's July 23, 2013 Response to Commenters in the same matter, attached as Exhibits 1 and 2. The FTC's Response to Commenters is relevant to arguments advanced by Motorola concerning the *Noerr-Pennington* doctrine (*see* Dkt. No. 733)

1	at 18–21) and Motorola's seeking of injunctions on its standard-essential patents (see id. at 7-			
2	12):			
3	Some commenters express concern that the conduct alleged in the Complaint,			
4	and remedied through the proposed Consent Order, is covered by the <i>Noerr-Pennington</i> doctrine (" <i>Noerr</i> "), which protects the First Amendment right to			
	petition the government for redress of grievances. However, as we have previously stated, we do not believe that imposing Section 5 liability on Google			
5	for violating the FRAND commitments MMI made, and Google formally			
6	assumed through a letter of assurance to the relevant SSOs, offends the First Amendment. By taking this action, we are doing no more than "simply			
7	requir[ing] those making promises to keep them."			
8	Commenters who raised <i>Noerr</i> concerns also question whether the Commission had an adequate factual basis to find reason to believe that Google's FRAND			
9	commitments preclude seeking an injunction or exclusion order against a			
10	willing licensee of its SEPs. The Commission believes that the evidence supports its decision. Moreover, multiple courts have reached a similar			
11	conclusion on related questions, and there is evidence that some implementers held a similar view and relied on that understanding when developing standard-			
	compliant products.			
12	Ex. 2 at 3.			
13	DATED this 30th day of July, 2013.			
14	CALFO HARRIGAN LEYH & EAKES LLP			
15	CALFO HARRIGAN LETH & LAKES LEI			
16	By <u>s/Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr.</u> Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr., WSBA #1751			
17				
18	By <u>s/Christopher Wion</u> Christopher Wion, WSBA #33207			
19	By <u>s/Shane P. Cramer</u> Shane P. Cramer, WSBA #35099			
20	999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400 Seattle, WA 98104			
21	Phone: 206-623-1700			
22	arthurh@calfoharrigan.com chrisw@calfoharrigan.com			
23	shanec@calfoharrigan.com			
24	By s/T. Andrew Culbert			
25	T. Andrew Culbert			

Case 2:10-cv-01823-JLR Document 807 Filed 07/30/13 Page 3 of 5

	By	s/David E. Killough
1		David E. Killough
2		MICROSOFT CORPORATION
3		1 Microsoft Way
3	III	Redmond, WA 98052
4	III	Phone: 425-882-8080
5		Fax: 425-869-1327
		David T. Pritikin
6		Richard A. Cederoth
7	III	Constantine L. Trela, Jr.
´		William H. Baumgartner, Jr. Ellen S. Robbins
8		Douglas I. Lewis
		David C. Giardina
9		John W. McBride
10		Nathaniel C. Love
11		SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
		One South Dearborn
12		Chicago, IL 60603
13		Phone: 312-853-7000
		Fax: 312-853-7036
14		Carter G. Phillips
15		Brian R. Nester
16		SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
	III	1501 K Street NW
17		Washington, DC 20005
18		Telephone: 202-736-8000
		Fax: 202-736-8711
19		Counsel for Microsoft Corp.
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1	I, Florine Fujita, swear under penalty of perjury under the	e laws of the State of
2	Washington to the following:	
3	1. I am over the age of 21 and not a party to this acti	on.
4	2. On the 30th day of July, 2013, I caused the preced	ling document to be served on
5	counsel of record in the following manner:	
6 7	Attorneys for Motorola Solutions, Inc., and Motorola Mobility	ty, Inc.:
8	Ralph Palumbo, WSBA #04751	Massaugen
9	Philip S. McCune, WSBA #21081 Summit Law Group 215 Fifth Ave. South Suite 1000	Messenger US Mail Facsimile
10		
11	Telephone: 206-676-7000 Email: Summit1823@summitlaw.com	
12	12	
13	Steven Pepe (pro hac vice) Jesse J. Jenner (pro hac vice)	Messenger US Mail
14	Ropes & Gray LLP 1211 Avenue of the Americas X	Facsimile ECF
15	N. N. 1 NN. 1000 C 070 A	
16		
17		
18	Norman 11. Beamer (pro nac vice)	Messenger
19	1900 University Avenue, 6" Floor	US Mail Facsimile
20	Telephone: (650) 617-4030	ECF
21	Email: norman.beamer@ropesgray.com	
22	22	
23	23	
24	24	

25

Case 2:10-cv-01823-JLR Document 807 Filed 07/30/13 Page 5 of 5

1	Paul M. Schoenhard (pro hac vice) Messenger Ropes & Gray LLP US Mail
2	One Metro Center Facsimile
3	700 12 th Street NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005-3948 Telephone: (202) 508-4693
4	Email: Paul.schoenhard@ropesgray.com
5	
6	Andrea Pallios Roberts (pro hac vice) Brian C. Cannon (pro hac vice) Messenger US Mail
7	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP Facsimile 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5 th Floor X ECF
8	Redwood Shores, CA 94065
9	Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Email: andreaproberts@quinnemanuel.com
10	Email: <u>briancannon@quinnemanuel.com</u>
11	Kathleen M. Sullivan (pro hac vice) Messenger
12	David Elihu (pro hac vice) US Mail
13	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLPFacsimile51 Madison Ave., 22nd FloorXECF
14	New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000
15	Email: kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com
16	William Price (pro hac vice) Messenger Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP US Mail
17	865 S. Figuera St., 10 th Floor Facsimile
18	Los Angeles, CA 90017
19	Email: williamprice@quinnemanuel.com MicrosoftvMotoBreachofRANDCase@quinnemanuel.com
20	DATED this 30th day of July, 2013.
21	
22	/s/ Florine Fujita FLORINE FUJITA
23	
24	
25	